

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,811	11/21/2002	Stig-Erik Johannesson	07589.0063.PCUS00 7984	
28694 7	7590 04/20/2006		EXAMINER	
NOVAK DRUCE & QUIGG, LLP			PHAM, MINH CHAU THI	
1300 EYE STREET NW 400 EAST TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1724	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2006	ς.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comment	10/065,811	JOHANNESSON, STIG-ERIK			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Minh-Chau T. Pham	1724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timediately and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Fe	ebruary 2006.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	63 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12 and 13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathbb R$	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/065,811 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 .

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gluys et al (5,509,949).

Gluys et al teach a device for ventilating a transmission case (col. 3, lines 48-50) comprising a passage connectable between a residual volume of air inside a transmission case and the atmosphere outside the transmission case (col. 4, lines 16-20, col. 5, lines 49-51), the passage comprising an expansion portion (26 in Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 16-19) that tapers to a neck opening (18 in Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 25-29) leading to atmospheric air that is to be drawn into the device (see arrow 40 & 50 in Fig. 4), wherein the expansion portion (26) being positioned downstream to the neck opening (18) and having a sufficient large area to cause suspended particles swept through the neck opening to fall out of suspension and be trapped therein (follow arrow direction in Fig. 4).

The recitation "a filterless device" has not been given patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self-contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. *Kropa v. Robie, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951)*.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-8 allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: None of the prior arts discloses a device for the ventilation of a transmission case having a compressed air source connected to the passage between the inside of the transmission case and the first section.

Claims 9-11 allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: None of the prior arts discloses a device for the ventilation of a transmission case having a compressed air source connected to the device and configured to backwash trapped particles from within the expansion portion.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed on February 6, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the cited prior art Colussi et al teaches "a liquid trap for compressed air that may also include a filter element for removal of solid particles" and "does not teach an apparatus for venting a transmission and preventing entry of particles from outside the transmission case". The Examiner now drops the Colussi et al reference and newly introduces Gluys et al (5,509,949). as the primary reference under the 102(b) rejections of claims 12 and 13.

Gluys et al teach a device for ventilating a transmission case (col. 3, lines 48-50) comprising a passage connectable between a residual volume of air inside a transmission case and the atmosphere outside the transmission case (col. 4, lines 16-20, col. 5, lines 49-51), the passage comprising an expansion portion (26 in Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 16-19) that tapers to a neck opening (18 in Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 25-29) leading to atmospheric air that is to be drawn into the device (see arrow 40 & 50 in Fig. 4), wherein the expansion portion (26) being positioned downstream to the neck opening (18) and having a sufficient large area to cause suspended particles swept through the neck opening to fall out of suspension and be trapped therein (follow arrow direction in Fig. 4).

The recitation "a filterless device" has not been given patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self-contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. *Kropa v. Robie, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951)*.

Claims 1-11 are allowed as indicated above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,811 Page 5

Art Unit: 1724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh-Chau Pham Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1724 April 18, 2006